

REMARKS

Claims 1, 6-15, 18-25, 30-47, 52-60, 64-72, and 77-86 remain in the application and claims 1, 6-14, 25, 47, 60, and 72 have been amended hereby. Claims 2-5, 16, 17, 26-29, 48-51, 61-63, and 73-76 have been cancelled, without prejudice or disclaimer.

The title of the invention has been changed to read -- DISK RECORDING OR REPRODUCING METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR CONTROLLING A RECORDING OR REPRODUCING OPERATION BASED ON A DETECTED ADDRESS INFORMATION ERROR --.

Reconsideration is respectfully requested of the objections to claims 1-86 as failing to find clear support in the specification as required by 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1).

It is respectfully submitted that the recited "first area," "second area," and "third area" are clearly supported throughout the specification. See page 9, lines 10-14 of the present application, for example.

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that amended independent claims 1, 14, 25, 47, 60, and 70, and the claims depending therefrom, are clearly supported in the specification as required by 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1).

Claim 1, and the claims depending therefrom, have been amended to change the recitation "and/or" to --or--.

Reconsideration is respectfully requested of the objection to the drawings as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.83(a).

An embodiment of the present invention shown in Fig. 2, for example, includes a first area on which data is recorded

(e.g. PA in Fig. 2), a second area followed by the first area (e.g. LI in Fig. 2), and a third area preceeded by the first area (e.g. LO in Fig. 2).

Another embodiment of the present invention shown in Fig. 7, for example, does not limit the first, second, and third areas to the areas shown in Fig. 2 and instead uses address information representing these areas.

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that the drawings show every feature of the invention specified in the claims and comply with 37 CFR 1.83(a).

Reconsideration is respectfully requested of the rejections of claims 1-86 set forth in the Office Action at paragraphs 2-51 over the prior art of record.

Applicants acknowledge the Examiner's finding of Allowable Subject Matter in claims 5-7, 16, 29-31, 51-53, 63-65, and 76-78 set forth in the Office Action at paragraph 53.

Therefore, claim 1 has been amended to recite the limitations of claim 5, claim 14 has been amended to recite the limitations of claim 16, claim 25 has been amended to recite the limitations of claim 29, claim 47 has been amended to recite the limitations of claim 51, claim 60 has been amended to recite the limitations of claim 63, and claim 72 has been amended to recite the limitations of claim 76.

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that amended independent claims 1, 14, 25, 47, 60, and 72, and the claims depending therefrom, are in condition of allowance.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon has been

7246/63762

reviewed and is not seen to show or suggest the present invention as recited in the amended claims.

An early and favorable examination on the merits is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

COOPER & DUNHAM LLP



Jay H. Maioli
Reg. No. 27, 213

JHM/PCF:pmc